

ODTR response to Department of Public Enterprise's Invitation to comment

on

Review of legislation relating to the licensing and use of the radio spectrum

Document No. ODTR 01/07

February 2001

Office of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation Abbey Court Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street, Dublin Telephone +353-1-804 9600 Fax +353-1-804 9680 Web www.odtr.ie

1. Introduction

The legislative basis for the management and use of the radiocommunications spectrum in Ireland is based on the Wireless Telegraphy Act (WT Act) of 1926 and subsequent acts of 1956 through 1988 and a number of associated Statutory Instruments. The WT Acts have served the requirements of spectrum managers well over the intervening decades. However, the pace of change in radiocommunications is placed increasing strains on the legislation in terms of its lack of flexibility and capabilities to deal with fast developing markets and convergent technologies. Similarly, developments in the European Union with the new 'lighter-touch' directives now emerging from the European Commission are making the 1920's based legislation look increasingly unsuited to the 21st century radiocommunications environment. There have also been ongoing problems and deficiencies in the current legislation, which have hampered the efficient management of the spectrum, particularly in respect of the introduction of new services and enforcement activities.

The review by the Department of Public Enterprise of legislation relating to the licensing and use of the radio spectrum provides an ideal opportunity to develop a new legislative framework more suited to the needs of a modern, dynamic economy with increasing dependence on electronic communications and information exchange and where the telecommunications, media and information technology sectors are converging to seamless information delivery. Mobile communications, which depend entirely on radio spectrum, has become one of the world's fastest growing industries.

It is in that context, in response to the invitation from the Department of Public Enterprise (DPE) to comment on the proposed review of radio spectrum legislation, that the Director of Telecommunications Regulation (the Director) proposes that a new Radiocommunications Act (R-Act) be considered with the objective of replacing the

existing WT Acts. Proposals on the key features of the proposed new Act are described below.

Furthermore, in view of the diverse range and complex nature of the issues which will need to be addressed in drafting new legislation, and as the ODTR has the key front-line role in managing the radio spectrum, the Director proposes that a working group be established and led by the DPE involving interested parties including the ODTR, with the aim of developing the legislative texts.

2. Key Features of a new Radiocommunications Act

Regulation of the use of the radio spectrum is necessary because it is essentially a finite resource which makes a significant contribution to the national economy and is relied upon by a large range of users for communications including broadcasting, mobile communications, emergency services, navigation, monitoring of the environment and resources and scientific research. Because radio waves can traverse national boundaries their use needs to be coordinated between national administrations. Due to the growing demand for global communications and global markets there are increasing requirements to harmonise the use of frequencies on a European and global basis. Therefore it is essential to manage access to and use of the spectrum to ensure that the appropriate frequencies are available to legitimate users when and where they need it.

It is the view of the Director that, in an increasingly liberalised regulatory environment in the 21st century, effective legislation to cope with converging telecommunications, media and information technology sectors which use radio spectrum as a delivery medium should contain the following key features:

a) It should provide a simplified and flexible licensing regime capable of responding to technical and market developments

In a fast changing environment the legislation must be flexible enough to facilitate legislative, regulatory and administrative responses to challenges arising from convergence of technologies and media, and to reflect uncertainties arising from

innovation and market trends. Legislation should wherever practicable be technologically neutral.

b) Simplified standard-form licences should be the norm rather than individual licensing unless there is a need for frequency co-ordination or the licensee requires protection from interference.

The number of licence categories should be rationalised to a limited number of core licence categories with the objective of implementing a streamlined, transparent licensing system (also see Section 3 below).

c) Individual licences should be for the use of radio frequencies.

The current WT Acts focus on the licensing of apparatus. However, it is the radio spectrum resource which is of interest in terms of its management and use, and therefore it is proposed that the new R-Act should authorise the granting of licences for the use of radio frequencies.

d) Licence fees should encourage efficient and effective allocation and use of the radio spectrum.

As a valuable finite resource the value of the spectrum, e.g., in terms of where in the spectrum, congestion, efficiency (e.g., re-use potential) should be reflected in the fees charged to users. The Director should have powers to set fees to provide for efficient allocation and use (e.g. in case of congestion in a specific location and frequency band).

e) Delegation of licensing to third parties where appropriate and practicable

In general the Director does not consider it necessary to share spectrum management and licensing powers with third parties. However, there may be limited circumstances where it is more appropriate for the issue of licences and collection of licence fees to be administered on a delegated basis by another entity in order to reduce the administrative burden on the ODTR. This is distinct from transfer of powers to another government authority such as the Department of the

Marine.

- It should provide a framework for setting and enforcing conditions on the use of
 the spectrum necessary to enable effective spectrum management.

 This is essential in order to ensure that those users of the same service, and where
 different types of service share spectrum, can achieve appropriate
 communications in accordance with stipulated conditions.
- g) There should be a regulatory mechanism to ensure that radio apparatus placed on the Irish market meets minimum essential requirements and does not cause harmful interference when properly used.

 In a simplified flexible spectrum licensing regime of the type envisaged here the principle would be to impose as few restrictions as possible on trade in equipment (cf. RTTE directive). It is nevertheless essential that there are safeguards to facilitate the efficient use of radio spectrum and the avoidance of harmful interference. Such a regulatory mechanism would be along the lines of the EC "new approach" Directives (see Section 3 below). Services operating under general authorisations (typically licence exempt) should operate on the basis of being required not to cause interference to other legitimate services and should not be able to claim protection from interference from other users or services.
- h) The new legislation should contain a definition of harmful interference. At present the legislation does not distinguish between harmful interference (which should be prevented) and less harmful types of "interference" which are simply a consequence of sharing spectrum. There should therefore be a definition of what interference is harmful to allow flexibility, the Act should provide that the definition can be amended by secondary legislation in the light of technological changes.
- i) There should be legislative mechanisms to obtain and maintain information on types of use/numbers of users/terminals and other information necessary for purposes

of management and planning of the finite spectrum resource.

The intention is not to create an onerous regime, but for effective planning some information is required. Such information could be included in the licence schedule and updated at each renewal.

j) To enable effective management of the spectrum in our modern society, a range of effective enforcement powers is required.
Such provisions should include powers to investigate, powers to prosecute or obtain civil injunctions, powers to seize and cease operation of equipment, to dispose of equipment and, where appropriate, the power of officers to levy on-the-spot fines. Greater penalties are also required for breaches of licence conditions to reflect the current environment in which these powers are enforced (the ODTR has already stated this requirement during submissions on the Regulatory Bill).
For minor offences, fines could be levied on a per diem basis for non-compliance and perhaps a sliding scale for on-the-spot fines related to the level of the offence. It should be possible to recover costs of interference investigations from offenders or complainants.

3. European legislation

New legislation, such as a Radiocommunications Act, will have to take account of relevant European law. Currently key legislation at EC level includes the Licensing Directive 97/13/EC, as transposed in Ireland by SI 96 of 1998, and the RTTE Directive 1999/5/EC which has yet to be transposed into Irish law. The Licensing Directive is however to be replaced by a new regime stemming from the Commission's 1999 Review and set out in the proposed Framework and Authorisation Directives which are currently being discussed at Council Working Groups. A further key proposal from the EC is the draft Decision on a regulatory framework for radio spectrum policy which would facilitate EC measures on harmonisation of spectrum use, assignment methods, conditions for use and availability of information on the use of the radio spectrum. It is likely that these texts, once finalised, will have to be transposed by the member States in 2002. Any new legislative regime should therefore accord with the new Directives. The

provisions of the draft Authorisations Directive (replacing the Licensing Directive) dealing with the rights to use radio frequencies might indeed most logically be transposed by new national framework legislation governing the use of radio spectrum – i.e. a Radiocommunications Act.

The proposed new EC legislative regime (in particular the proposed Framework and Authorisations Directives) will require a somewhat different approach to spectrum licensing and management to the present. Some aspects of the EC proposals – in particular in relation to the generalised use of authorisations - have been criticised as likely to cause practical difficulties, so they may not be adopted in their present form. Some of the possible features of the new EC regime, which would need to be reflected in a Radiocommunications Act, include:

- i) an emphasis on the licensing of radio spectrum rather than apparatus (such a refocusing of approach is also required by the RTTE Directive 1999/5/EC);
- ii) the conditions which may be imposed in individual licences will be limited to those provided for in the schedule to the draft Authorisations Directive which are more limited in scope than is currently the case;
- iii) the conditions referred to above, and all other provisions of the Directive, will apply across the board to all "electronic communications services and networks" telecoms as well as broadcasting: while telecoms licences are currently subject to the provisions of the Licensing Directive, wireless telegraphy licences for broadcasting services and networks have not been; the approach in the new Directives reflects the general trend towards convergence of technologies and content, and the lessening degree to which legal rules can rely on technological distinctions;

iv)

4. Timeframe

In view of the rapid developments, including convergence in communications and other electronic information technologies, and the requirement in law to implement new EC

Directives – in particular the draft Authorisations and Framework Directives (in 2002) and the RTTE Directive 1999/5/EC (overdue) - the new legislation is required as quickly as possible to bring the regulatory framework into line with the complex requirements placed on regulators in the 21st century.

Developing the details of a comprehensive regulatory framework for the licensing and use of spectrum which will take account of the diversity of market developments and serve the industrial, economic and social needs of Ireland well into the 21st century will, from a legal as well as from a spectrum management perspective, be an exceedingly complex task. Therefore, as discussed above, the Director is of the view that the establishment of a working group led by the DPE, other government agencies, the ODTR, and possibly industry and consumer representatives with an interest in spectrum management and usage would be the best way to progress to the successful implementation of the proposed new Radiocommunications Act.

Annex A

For convenience, a copy of the earlier submission from ODTR in response to the draft Regulatory Bill is enclosed in the Annex.



Response to the outline legislative proposals in relation to the regulation of the communications sector published by the Department of Public Enterprise

Document No. ODTR 00/74

September 2000

Oifig an Stiúrthóra Rialála Teileachumarsáide Office of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation

Abbey Court, Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street, Dublin 1. *Telephone* +353-1-804 9600 *Fax* +353-1-804 9680

Web Site: http://www.odtr.ie

Introduction

This document sets out the response of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation to the outline legislative proposals published by the Minister for Public Enterprise in September 2000. Those proposals followed an earlier public consultation carried out by the Minister in November 1999. The Director's response to the 1999 consultation is set out in Document ODTR 99/67 and is available on the ODTR website www.odtr.ie.

The Director welcomes the opportunity afforded by the Minister for Public Enterprise to comment on the implications for the operation of the ODTR of the draft legislative proposals for the communications sector. As the Minister indicates in her consultation paper, Ireland needs an effective regime that will allow the Regulator to act as speedily as possible so as to bring about effective competition in the communications sector in Ireland. The key role to be played by regulation in ensuring the effective liberalisation and development of competition in this sector to date is also noted.

Technology Neutrality and Convergence

The approach taken to converging technologies and markets in the proposals is very welcome and will allow the Irish regime to be more flexible and responsive to national conditions and to ensure effective competition in new emerging markets. In this area the Minister's legislation is designed to put Ireland among the early adopters of the new European framework.

This proposal broadens the Regulator's responsibilities to encompass the regulation of a wide range of network access mechanisms including full physical unbundling of the local loop. This will allow effective regulation to contribute to developments that have been identified as underpinning the progress of e-commerce and broadband communications within European telecommunications markets.

The ODTR welcomes the introduction through the Bill of a new approach motivated by recent EU Framework proposals. This proposal will update and strengthen the regulatory system, setting out a "toolkit" that the Regulator can use to deliver on the objectives set out in legislation. Like the similar measures envisaged in the new EU policy framework, the proposal will help the Regulator take account of recent developments in the market, evolution in technology and changes in user demand.

Accountability

The bill provides a useful clarification of the Regulator's relationship with the Oireachtas, reflecting the current practice. It sets out explicitly a broad statement of objectives, filling a vacuum in the definition of the Regulator's purpose and setting out a statutory policy framework within which it is to operate. It makes provision for strategy statements and a published programme on consultations that will formalise and bring together elements of current practice as statutory obligations. These can be helpful in increasing understanding and clarity in respect of the Regulator's activities, an important aspect of accountability.

These proposals follow up on the intention set out in the earlier consultation to create a three-person commission to replace the current single regulator. The position of the Director on this matter has been set out in ODTR 99/67 in the first instance and is accompanied by an independent paper on this matter prepared by NERA for ODTR (ODTR 99/67a).

The Director believes that speedy, effective decisions and actions have been the key to the success of Ireland's regulatory regime, allowing Ireland to catch up with its European neighbours in the telecommunications field. This success shows that the existing structure, with a single sectoral regulator, has proven efficient and effective. Decisions are made with reference to the best advice and understanding of the viewpoints of interested parties. The ODTR comprises a wide range of specialists in all fields of regulatory work, and has also set up a system for consultation that regularly affords interested parties the opportunity to put their views before decisions are made. The current arrangements focus accountability clearly and it is not evident in what way the new proposal would improve this. The change proposed would involve a significant overhead in efficiency and effectiveness.

Policy Directions

Responsibility for policy for the communications sector lies with the Minister and the Government. In recent years however, the focus of policy making in relation to communications regulation has moved to the EU. The Minister, in her capacity as Ireland's policy maker, has a fundamental and essential role in shaping the framework at EU level and also in adapting and translating it into an Irish context. That framework is designed to set out clearly the policies that are to be implemented, thus providing certainty to the telecommunications market. Independent regulation enables rapid progress to be made in implementing the framework, with the regulators taking the necessary long-term view with confidence that they can develop and bring their work to conclusion.

In this context, it is not clear what the current proposal for "general policy directions" set out in head 30, and cross referenced in a number of other areas is intended to achieve. It is inconsistent with the objective of clarity and certainty in the regime and could give rise to delays in decision making or perceived influence on decisions of the regulator as interested parties seek to have regulatory decisions overturned or influenced by the Minister. There is a potential for increased litigation - involving both the Department of Public Enterprise and the ODTR - arising from the uncertainty engendered in the prospect of double channels of decision making on all issues (other than decisions on the persons to whom licences may be granted or the exercise of functions relating to individual undertakings or persons), including the allocation of spectrum in respect of particular bands to specific categories of services and use of the national numbering resource.

Given the framework derived from EU law, and the inclusion of a statement of objectives in the legislation, if there are fundamental issues of communications policy that the regulator might ignore to the detriment of "the proper and effective regulation of the electronic communications market and the management of the radio frequency spectrum", the legislation should specify clearly the nature and scope of the policy directions and those areas where such directions can be made. The Director would welcome such clarity. Without this specific detail, the regulatory regime could suffer from either real or perceived pressures from short term interests that would damage progress made to date.

Enforcement of decisions

One weakness identified in the existing regulatory framework is the lack of transparent, proportionate civil remedies. Such remedies are vital in cases where operators may derive substantial commercial benefits (or cause significant harm to consumers or competitors) by failing to comply with licence conditions or other legal requirements. The need for significant civil remedies for this kind of behaviour arises not alone in telecoms regulation, but in many sectors and so has implications for the regulatory regime for other utilities and public services.

The Director notes that the only proposed action in this area is to increase the maximum fines that a court may impose following a conviction on indictment and does not consider that this limited amendment addresses this problem effectively. The level of fine remains very low, even in relation to breaches of law by unlicensed users of spectrum.

In the case of summary prosecution, the existing level of fines (£1,500) for conviction of summary offences is retained in the proposed bill. This is clearly not proportionate to the commercial significance of many possible breaches of telecommunications law where the financial amounts at stake are very considerable.

The Director understands that there must be a limit on the level of fines arising from summary offences, but believes that the £1,500 level should be reviewed to take account of current income levels and the value of money, while remaining consistent with Irish judicial and legislative principles. This would at least make summary prosecutions more useful in relation to breaches of law with more minor implications than the major telecoms cases.

With regard to criminal conviction (conviction on indictment), this is a lengthy process and not in line with the need to make fast enforcement decisions that apply appropriate redress where licence conditions or requirements of legislation are breached. Therefore the Director suggests that the legislation include a civil remedy which allows for proportionate remedies to be imposed by the ODTR without the requirement for criminal prosecutions. The provision for compliance notices might be developed to provide a basis for this approach.

Funding of the Regulator's office

The Telecommunications (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act, 1996 (Section 6) Levy Order, 1998 (the Levy Order) came into force on 1 April. Those liable to pay the levy are providers of telecommunications services licensed under Section 111 of the Postal and Telecommunications Services Act 1983. The purpose of this levy is to meet expenses properly incurred by the ODTR in the discharge of its functions. At present, any surplus which exceeds the expenditure incurred by the ODTR is to be refunded to the telecommunications industry. Under the new regulatory framework it would appear that any such surplus should be paid into the Central Fund. If this were the intention, such treatment would be inconsistent with the original objective and spirit of the levy provisions of the 1996 Act and the Levy Order and would appear to be unconstitutional. The Director believes that the existing arrangements should stand in relation to any surpluses arising from the levy.

Wireless Telegraphy Act, 1926

The Minister proposes some useful amendments to the 1926 Wireless Telegraphy Act in this proposed legislation. These would benefit from some minor technical amendments, and we would be happy to discuss these with the Department. However, the Director considers that this legislation will require further substantial revision to bring it into line with a modern telecommunications environment.

Technical Drafting points

There are a number of technical drafting points in the proposed legislation. These are included in the Annexes below.

Conclusion

The Director considers that new legislation is timely and very much needed in the fast paced communications environment in which we operate. The commitment of the Minister to progress this

quickly is very welcome. If the remaining matters are addressed appropriately, the Director believes that Ireland can have clear and effective legislation to underpin a strong leading edge regulatory regime for communications in Ireland.

/END

Annex A

Suggested Amendments to the General Scheme of the Communications Regulation Bill

Head 8 Dissolution of the ODTR

In order to ensure that all contracts concluded by the ODTR remain valid after the ODTR is formally dissolved (e.g. lease on premises), the following text is suggested for inclusion at the end of the text in Head 8(3):

"Any contract to which the Director is a party shall continue in force after the establishment day, and any rights or obligations of the Director thereunder shall attach to the Commission."

With regard to transfer of functions, the substitution of the Director's name in pleadings is ambiguous at paragraph 5 and should be clarified. Also it should be clarified that proceedings already instituted against the Minister should remain with the Minister. We suggest the insertion of text similar to 1996 Act Section 4. Paragraph 5, for example;

"Where, immediately before the commencement of this section, any legal proceedings are pending to which the Minister is a defendant and the proceedings have reference to any functions transferred to the Commission by this section, the Commission shall not be substituted for the Minister in those proceedings notwithstanding the transfer of functions under this Act."

Head 10 Appointment

In paragraph 3 the Director notes the suggestion that the term of office should be five years. This is inconsistent with the term of office in similar public service appointments, e.g. the term of appointment for Secretary General is seven years. A term of five years could provide less certainty in implementation due to the disruption that would be caused by regular and frequent changes in the members of the Commission. It is suggested therefore that the period of seven years be used. (This would also equate with the full length of appointments of the current Director.)

Head 16 Disclosure of Interests

This section allows for Commissioners to have certain interests in regulated firms and

provides a procedure whereby they should defer from relevant decisions that involve such firms. This appears to be impractical. The decisions made by the Regulator are complex in nature and highly inter-related. An interest in any regulated firm is therefore likely to impinge on a very wide range of decisions, with the effect that a Commissioner could be precluded from many such decisions.

An alternative approach would be to provide in the legislation that the Regulator implements and publishes a code of practice approved by the Minister in relation to interests of Commissioners. This would address the concerns associated with this head and would allow the flexibility to deal with the changing market as well as providing for transparency and accountability.

Head 21 Levies

Licence Fees and Levies are two separate and distinct payments to the Office and are dealt with in an entirely different manner. Paragraph 5 should be clarified to highlight that it refers to licence fee income rather than levy for the reasons explained in the body of this paper. The proposed text would read as follows:

"5. The Minister may, with the consent of the Minister for Finance, direct the Commission to pay into the Central Fund or the growing produce thereof such sum as he or she may specify being a sum that represents the amount by which the gross licence fee income received by the Commission in each financial year exceeds the gross expenditure incurred in the administration of its office in that year, less any interim payments made in accordance with paragraph 6."

Head 23 Annual Reports and Accounts

In paragraph b. the period of fourteen days for presentation of the accounts to the Minister should be replaced by six weeks to allow adequate time to arrange printing.

Head 33 Authorised Officers

Some suggested amendments are set out in Annex B. The ODTR currently exercises its powers relating to Authorised Officers under the Telecommunications (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1996 and various other enactments. While the existing legislation provides for a wide range of powers, the ODTR considers it sensible to collate and refine these functions to provide a more practicable and workable framework for appointment of authorised officers.

Head 35 Establishing Markets

The ODTR proposes that paragraph 2 of this Head be amended to include definition of **geographic markets** and to allow for **supply-side substitutability** in the market definition process. The proposed text would read as follows:

- "2. For the purposes of this Act, a Relevant Market shall consist of those electronic communications products or services which, having regard to the conditions of supply and demand for such products or services and the geographic area in which they are created and supplied, have such similar characteristics that
 - (a) one product or service within the same Relevant Market is the same as, or substitutable for, another product or service within the same Relevant Market, and
 - (b) the products or service within any Relevant Market are distinguishable from other products or services outside that Relevant Market."

Head 38 Procedures to be followed by the Commission

In paragraph 1 (c) the word **participant** is used which is not common under Competition law. This should be reviewed.

Head 39 Co-operation between Commission and Competition Authority Since this question was first raised, the telecommunications industry has advanced

considerably and there are a number of instances of companies showing themselves able and willing to take their competition law cases directly to the Courts. The issues that the Regulator can deal with effectively are clearer to the industry also.

In that context the arrangements proposed for co-operation between the Commission and the Competition Authority are helpful, but they fall short of the more useful reorganisation of responsibilities proposed in ODTR 67/99.

Head 43 Right of Action

This Head deals with the regulator's right to take actions against licensed operators and has been expanded to cover unlicensed operators where immediate action may sometimes be necessary for example to halt interference in spectrum used by the emergency services. Some suggested text is set out below:

- "1. The Commission shall have a right of action for relief by way of injunction or declaration from the High Court against any undertaking to restrain
 - (a) any non-compliance or direct any compliance in accordance with the terms of any authorisation, licence or compliance notice, or
 - (b) any breach of any obligation imposed by or pursuant to this Act or by or pursuant to any other Act or any statutory instrument or any requirement of a licence or authorisation which falls to be enforced by the Commission,

and the Court may grant such order as it sees fit."

Annex B

Possible Textual Amendments to Outline Legislative Proposals in relation to the Regulation of the Communications Sector

Draft Proposal for Head 33

Subsections 3 & 6 of the suggested text clarify the means by which an authorised officer may obtain information. The ODTR recommends for clarity that an authorisation identifies the nature and purpose/s of the authorisation so that these may be communicated to the recipient of the Commission's action under this Head.

The text has also been expanded to ensure that the Regulator will retain power to seize and retain documentation, records or apparatus, for bringing prosecutions under the Act and enactments in schedule 2 to the Act.

If property seized then provision should be made for retaining and forfeiting of same. The onus of returning property will therefore be moved from the Regulator to the putative owner requiring the putative owner to ensure that the property is licensed prior to seeking its return. Text is suggested in subsection 7 to address this.

- 1. The Commission may appoint persons to be authorised officers for the purpose of obtaining information necessary for the exercise by the Commission of its functions under the Act or under the entactments set out in the Second Schedule.
- 2. Appointment of authorised officers shall be by way of a certificate of authorisation, issued by the Commission, which shall indicate the purpose or purposes for which he or she may act and the period for which the authorisation stands.
- An authorised officer may, for the purposes of obtaining information necessary for the exercise by the Commission of its functions, on production of a certificate of authorisation, do any or all of the following;

- (a) enter and inspect any premises or any vehicle or vessel, where any activity in connection with the provision or operation of an electronic communications network or electronic communications services, is believed to have been, is or will take place.
- (b) require the production of any books, records or other documents, howsoever stored or recorded, relating to the provision or operation of an electronic communications network or electronic communications services
- (c) inspect and copy or take extracts from any such books, records or other documents, howsoever stored or recorded.
- (d) require the production of any information relating to the provision or operation of an electronic communications network or electronic communications services
- (e) make such inspections, tests and measurements of machinery, apparatus and other equipment on or at the premises, or in any specified vehicle or vessel, relating to the provision or operation of an electronic communications network or electronic communications services
- (f) take photographs or make any visual recording of anything relating to the provision or operation of an electronic communications network or electronic communications services.

^{4.} An authorised officer shall not, other than with the consent of the occupier, enter a private dwelling unless he or she has obtained a warrant from the District Court under paragraph 6

^{5.} An authorised officer, where he or she considers it necessary, may be accompanied by a member or members of the Garda Síochána when performing any powers conferred on an authorised officer by this Section.

- 6. If a Judge of the District Court is satisfied on the sworn information of an authorised officer that there are reasonable grounds for suspecting that
 - (a) An offence under this Act or any other Act or statutory instrument, which falls to be enforced by the Commission, has been or is being committed on or at any premises or in any specified vehicle or vessel, and
 - (b) evidence that the offence has been or is being committed is located on or at those premises or in any specified vehicle or vessel

the Judge may issue a warrant authorising an authorised officer or member of the Garda Síochána, accompanied, if appropriate, by other authorised officers or by a member or members of the Garda Síochána at any time or times within one month from the date of the warrant, on production of the warrant if so requested, to enter those premises or any such vehicle or vessel, if need be by reasonable force, and there to search for and seize all such books, records, or other documents howsoever stored or recorded and any apparatus, machinery and other equipment which appears to the authorised officer or member of the Garda Síochána to amount to evidence that an offence, under this Act or any other Act or statutory instrument, which falls to be enforced by the Commission, has been or is being committed.

- 7. An authorised officer may retain anything seized under this section which he believes to be evidence of an offence under this Act or by or pursuant to any other Act or statutory instrument, which falls to be enforced by the Commission
- (a) Anything seized under this section, may be retained for a reasonable period or, if within that period there are commenced any such proceedings under this Act or by or pursuant to any other Act or statutory instrument, which falls to be enforced by the Commission, until the conclusion of such proceedings.

- (b) Where a person is convicted on indictment of an offence under this Act, the interest of the person, whether as owner or otherwise, in the property seized shall stand forfeited as a statutory consequence of conviction.
- (c) The Commission may apply to the District Court for forfeiture of anything seized under this section which remains in the possession of the Commission two years after the date of seizure,
- (d) Any person claiming to own anything seized under this Section may, subject to subsection (a) above, apply for its return to the District Court, unless it is apparatus for wireless telegraphy and the said person does not hold a licence from the Commission for the possession or operation of the said equipment.

8. A person who –

- (a) without reasonable excuse fails to comply with any request or requirement made by an authorised officer under this Head, or
- (b) obstructs or interferes with an authorised officer in the exercise of his or her powers under this Head or gives an authorised officer information which is false or misleading

shall be guilty of an offence.