

IRISH NATIONAL NUMBERING CONVENTIONS CONSULTATION PAPER

Document No. ODTR 99/11

March 1999

Note:

This document constitutes Volume 1 of the 2-volume consultation package. The draft National Numbering Conventions constitutes the second volume.

Oifig an Stiúrthóra Rialála Teileachumarsáide
Office of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation
Abbey Court Irish Life Centre Lower Abbey Street, Dublin 1.
Telephone +353-1-804 9600 Fax +353-1-804 9680
Web: http://www.odtr.ie

Table	or Contents	
0. 0.1 0.2	THE CONSULTATION EXERCISE PURPOSE OF THE CONSULTATION APPROACH TO THE CONSULTATION	4
1.	SCOPE OF THE CONVENTIONS	6
2.	DEFINITION OF TERMS	6
3.	RESPONSIBILITIES	
3.1 3.2	RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DIRECTOR OPERATORS RESPONSIBILITIES	
4.	ALLOCATION OF NUMBERS	
4.1 4.2	THE APPLICATION PROCESS	
4.3	REFUSAL OF PRIMARY OR SECONDARY ALLOCATION	
4.4	CONFIDENTIALITY	9
4.5	TIMESCALES FOR APPLICATIONS	
4.6	APPEALS	
5. 5.1	RESERVATION OF NUMBERS	
5.2	RESERVATION BY END USERS	
6.	ALLOCATION OF SHORT CODES	11
7.	RESPONSIBILITIES FOR NOTIFICATION OF NUMBER ACTIVATION	11
8.	RIGHT TO USE NUMBERS, NUMBER BLOCKS AND CODES	12
9.	AUDIT INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM SERVICE PROVIDERS	12
11.	CONDITIONS OF USE FOR NUMBERS AND SHORT CODES	
11.1	GENERAL CONDITIONS	13
11.2 11.3	SUBSCRIBER NUMBERS USAGE	
11.3 11.3.1	Short Code Usage	
11.3.2	Freephone usage	
11.3.3	Shared Cost Numbers usage	
11.3.4 11.3.5	Universal Access Number usage	
11.3.5	Directory Information Access Codes usage	
11.3.7	Network Unique Short Codes (NUSC's)	14
11.4	Lieu en Danie en Danie Danie Danie en D	14
11 7	USE OF PREMIUM RATE NUMBERS	
11.5	GEOGRAPHIC NUMBER USAGE - DEFINITION OF AREAS.	15
11.5 11.6 11.7		15
11.6	GEOGRAPHIC NUMBER USAGE - DEFINITION OF AREAS	15 15
11.6 11.7	GEOGRAPHIC NUMBER USAGE - DEFINITION OF AREAS. PORTABILITY OF NUMBERS. WITHDRAWAL, QUARANTINE AND CHANGE OF NUMBERS	15 15 15
11.6 11.7 12.	GEOGRAPHIC NUMBER USAGE - DEFINITION OF AREAS PORTABILITY OF NUMBERS WITHDRAWAL, QUARANTINE AND CHANGE OF NUMBERS USE OF NUMBERS AND ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS	15 15 15
11.6 11.7 12. 13.	GEOGRAPHIC NUMBER USAGE - DEFINITION OF AREAS. PORTABILITY OF NUMBERS. WITHDRAWAL, QUARANTINE AND CHANGE OF NUMBERS USE OF NUMBERS AND ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS MANDATORY DIALLING PROCEDURES.	15 15 15 15
11.6 11.7 12. 13. 14. 15. ANNEX	GEOGRAPHIC NUMBER USAGE - DEFINITION OF AREAS	15 15 15 16 17
11.6 11.7 12. 13. 14.	GEOGRAPHIC NUMBER USAGE - DEFINITION OF AREAS. PORTABILITY OF NUMBERS. WITHDRAWAL, QUARANTINE AND CHANGE OF NUMBERS. USE OF NUMBERS AND ALPHA-NUMERIC CHARACTERS. MANDATORY DIALLING PROCEDURES. PUBLICATION OF DIALLING AND NUMBERING SCHEME USAGE. REVISION OF THE NATIONAL NUMBERING CONVENTIONS.	15 15 16 17 17

A1.3	CARRIER ACCESS / CARRIER SELECTION CODE CRITERIA	18
A1.4	DIRECTORY INFORMATION ACCESS CODE CRITERIA.	18
A1.5	Internet Access Number Criteria	
ANNE	X 2: GROUNDS FOR REJECTING AN APPLICATION	19
A2.1	REFUSAL OF PRIMARY ALLOCATION / RESERVATION	19
A2.2	REFUSAL OF SECONDARY ALLOCATION / RESERVATION	19
ANNE	X 3: INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM APPLICANTS FOR PRIMARY	
	ALLOCATIONS	19
ANNE	X 4: GROUNDS FOR WITHDRAWAL OF NUMBERS	20
A4.1	WITHDRAWING PRIMARY LEVEL ALLOCATIONS / RESERVATIONS	20
A4.2	WITHDRAWING SECONDARY LEVEL ALLOCATIONS/RESERVATIONS	20
ANNE	X 5: EXISTING NUMBERING ARRANGEMENTS	20

0. The Consultation Exercise

0.1 Purpose of the Consultation

The liberalisation of telecommunications in Ireland has generated a large new demand for blocks of telephone numbers and short codes, within the framework of competing needs from different telecommunications operators. The initial surge of demand generated by the onset of liberalisation has now abated, but there remains a continuous ongoing demand for numbers to be issued, reserved, withdrawn and controlled in a reliable, transparent and equitable manner.

The responsibility for meeting this need falls on the Office of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation (ODTR).

The ODTR has concluded that it can best meet the requirements for fairness and transparency of operation by drafting a new set of rules that will govern the future management of the national Numbering Scheme. The initial draft of those rules, which is now presented as a companion volume to this consultation document, forms a first approach to what will be known as the Irish National Numbering Conventions.

The ODTR intends that conformity with these Conventions should be a licence condition for all operators and service providers. Accordingly, it is clearly important that all those affected by the Conventions should be given the opportunity to comment on and help optimise what will then become the governing framework within which they will operate in future, in respect of numbering.

The conventions also clarify the rights and conditions under which numbers are allocated to users.

0.2 Approach to the Consultation

The Conventions themselves consist of a very large number of highly detailed and individual (though often inter-related) rules and this necessitates a rather different approach than has been used in previous consultations, in which opinions on broad strategy issues have been sought. Nevertheless, all respondents should have the freedom to comment in a broad-brush manner as well as on a rule-by-rule basis.

The approach now adopted is to provide two documents, volume 1 (this document) and volume 2 which is the draft document. The numbering and section identification in both volumes is synchronised, to provide easy cross-reference. In that respect it should be noted that questions related to particular Sections of the draft Conventions document, are indicated by their section number followed by the question number (with hyphen). For example Q5.2-1 is question 1 for Section 5.2 of the Conventions.

This Volume may be used as an electronic form into which responses are entered directly, if required. See "Completing the electronic format document", below.

Upon successful completion of the consultation exercise, the updated conventions (Volume 2) will be amended in line with comments received and will then be re-issued as the formal Irish National Numbering Conventions.

It should be noted that responses to this consultation document incur no obligations or commitments on the part of the respondent and are accepted as being for the purposes of the consultation only.

How to respond

We would welcome your response to this consultative document. Please study the relevant parts of the main text and the questions posed there and send your comments by 30th April 1999, to:

Ms. Martina Sheridan
Office of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation
Abbey Court
Irish Life Centre
Lower Abbey Street
Dublin 1
Ireland

Email: sheridanm@odtr.ie

This document is available in Word97 format and applicants are recommended to obtain a copy, either from the above address or directly from the ODTR's web site. Responses may be entered directly into the document, which is designed as a form, with check boxes and text fields (see below). Alternatively, responses may be provided in free-text written format. Note: conversion of the document into a format other than Word97 may result in changes to heading numbers and other numbers, as well as to layout, so is not recommended.

All comments are welcome, but it will make our task of analysing responses easier if comments reference relevant question numbers from this document. The ODTR will make copies of the comments available for public inspection at its offices. The Director regrets that it will not be possible to enter into correspondence with all those supplying documents.

Following analysis of the comments a Decision Notice will be issued by early June 1999, setting out the findings of the consultation process and announcing release of the first formal version of the Irish National Numbering Conventions.

Completing the electronic format document

To select a check box or text field entry either use the mouse to select it or else press the Tab key repeatedly to move forward one box/field at a time. Pressing Shift+Tab moves back one entry at a time.

Press the space bar to enter an X, in a check box.. *Note: This can be erased by again pressing the spacebar, if required.*

To enter Text in a text field, first select the present text and delete it (or simply overtype it) - then continue typing, as required. There is no limit to the amount of text that can be entered.

Note: This consultation document is not a legal document nor does it constitute legal, commercial or technical advice. The Director is not bound by it. The consultation is without prejudice to the legal position of the Director or to her rights and duties under the relevant legislation.

1. Scope of the Conventions

Questions

The scope of the conventions is critically important. It has been deliberately restricted to telephone numbering at this time but in due course it may be extended so that other areas, such as Data, Broadband and/or Internet / IP numbering may be included.

Q1-1	Do you agree with the general description of the Conventions' scope in the draft Conventions document? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q1-2	Do you agree that the scope should be restricted to telephony, at least initially? Yes \square No \square
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q1-3	Do you have any other comments on Section 1 of the Conventions? Yes \[\subseteq No \[\subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Definit	ion Of Terms
omissions,	n has deliberately been minimised; however comments concerning important if any, are invited.
Questions	
Q2-1	Do you feel that the present minimal set of terms is sufficient? Yes \square No \square
	If not please identify here those new terms you feel should be added
Q2-2	Do you agree with the existing definitions? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)

3. Responsibilities

This section of the Conventions strives to balance the responsibilities of the various parties involved in numbering for ensuring its future management operates effectively. It also identifies factors which need to be taken into account.

3.1 Responsibilities of the Director

This section is intended to define the broad framework within which the ODTR should manage the National Numbering Scheme.

The reference to support for number portability is subject to the outcome of the public consultation on that subject.

Convention 3.1-8 speaks of "consulting with market parties on important numbering convention issues and on large-scale withdrawals of allocated numbers". It should be noted that the present intention of the ODTR is to identify a panel of representatives from various S

	the telecommunications industry and public to achieve that aim efficiently.
Questions	
Q3.1-1	Do you agree with the ODTR's plans for consultation on important numbering convention issues and on large-scale withdrawals of allocated numbers? Yes \[\subseteq No \square \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q3.1-2	Do you feel the ODTR's responsibilities are adequately defined in this subsection? Yes No
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q3.1-3	Have any important issues been missed from this sub-section? No Yes
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Operate	ors Responsibilities
manage their certain ways	is intended to define the framework within which individual operators should r involvement with the National Numbering Scheme. It indirectly influences in which end-users are affected, where such control is more effectively managed operators than directly by the ODTR.

Questions

3.2

Q3.2-1	Do you agree with the requirements to open access (i.e. to all ITU-listed country codes and to all Irish allocated numbers) (Conventions 3.2-2 to 3.2-4)? Yes \[\] No \[\]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q3.2-2	Do you agree with the publication requirements (Convention 3.2-5)? Yes \[\cap No \[\square \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q3.2-3	Do you agree with the requirements for parallel running and recorded announcements during number changes (Convention 3.2-6)? Yes \[\] No \[\]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q3.2-4	Do you disagree with any of the other Conventions in this sub-section? No Yes
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q3.2-5	Have any important issues been missed from this sub-section? No Yes
	(Please add comment here, if any)

Q3.2-6	Do you have any other comments on any part of Section 3 of the Conventions? Yes \[\subseteq No \[\subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)

4. Allocation of Numbers

4.1 The application process

The individual requirements for showing eligibility to receive numbers are more susceptible to disagreement than is the actual principle of establishing eligibility; therefore these details are relegated to Annex 1 – which will be considered later herein.

Secondary allocation of numbers occurs at an operational distance from the ODTR but is of importance as it impacts the end-user directly.

Questions

Q4.1.1-1	Do you agree with the broad thrust of the eligibility requirements (primary and/or secondary)?
	Note: detailed comments on individual requirements should be reserved for the
	section dealing with Annex 1.
	Yes No No
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q4.1.1-2	Do you agree with use of the principle of 'first come, first served'?
21.1.1 2	Yes No \(\square\)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q4.1.1-3	Do you agree that applicants should be allowed to indicate their preferences for
	numbers or codes but that the NPM shall have the final say? Yes \square No \square
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q4.1.2-1	Do you agree that secondary allocation of numbers should also be subject to the
Q4.1.2-1	National Conventions?
	Yes No No
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q4.1.2-2	Do you agree with the present Conventions for secondary allocation in Section
	4.1.2? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \(\subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)

4.2 Information required when making an application

There are no specific questions for this sub-section. The detailed data requested from applicants will be considered in Annex 3.

4.3 Refusal of primary or secondary allocation

Refusal to allocate numbers or codes can have serious implications for the applicant and therefore such a decision is not to be taken lightly. On the other hand, numbers and codes are in limited supply and must not be wasted. A solution for some cases is to attach conditions to the allocation, but it must be clear that authority exists to apply such conditions. Finally, in all cases where refusal or modification of request occurs, the applicant, whether at primary or secondary level, must have the facility to appeal this decision.

	Q4.3-1	Do you agree that operators and service providers, as applicable, should have the right to directly refuse to allocate numbers (whether subscriber numbers or numbers following service codes) or to set conditions for such (secondary) allocation? Yes \(\subseteq \cdot No \subseteq \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Or	
	Q4.3-2	Do you belive that instead of this, all refusals or modifications should be done through the ODTR? Yes \square No \square
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q4.3-3	Do you agree with the applicant's first level redress procedure described in Convention 4.3-4(ii) and 4.3-4(iii)? Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
4.4	Confid	entiality entiality
	Q4.5-1	Do you have you any comments on the proposed Conventions covering confidentiality? Yes \(\subseteq \cdot No \square
		(Please add comment here, if any)
4.5	Times	cales for applications
	Q4.6-1	Do you agree that the timescales of this section are reasonable? Yes \square No \square
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q4.6-2	Are the exceptions to normal timescales acceptable and sufficient in your view? Yes \(\subseteq \cdot No \subseteq \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q4-1	Do you have any other comments on any part of Section 4 of the Conventions? Yes \[\sum No \[\]
		(Please add comment here, if any)

Reservation of ODTR believe and therefore p	No wation of numbers finumbers is a special case, which is only allowed in some countries. The estit is a tool which provides some additional flexibility for operators and user proposes to include it as an option, where justified. Reservation by Operators The provides are with the principal that operators should be allowed to reserve.
Reservation of ODTR believe and therefore postale and the post	Please add comment here, if any) Vation of numbers In numbers is a special case, which is only allowed in some countries. The est it is a tool which provides some additional flexibility for operators and user proposes to include it as an option, where justified. Reservation by Operators
Reservation of ODTR believe and therefore parts of the Primary Primary Primary Primary Description of the Primary Prim	vation of numbers If numbers is a special case, which is only allowed in some countries. The est it is a tool which provides some additional flexibility for operators and user proposes to include it as an option, where justified. Reservation by Operators
Reservation of ODTR believe and therefore posterior of the Primary III Down to the Primary II Down to the Primary III Down to	f numbers is a special case, which is only allowed in some countries. The es it is a tool which provides some additional flexibility for operators and user proposes to include it as an option, where justified. Reservation by Operators
Reservation of ODTR believe and therefore posterior of the Primary III Down to the Primary II Down to the Primary III Down to	f numbers is a special case, which is only allowed in some countries. The es it is a tool which provides some additional flexibility for operators and user proposes to include it as an option, where justified. Reservation by Operators
ODTR believe and therefore p Primary I Q5.1-1 D b	es it is a tool which provides some additional flexibility for operators and user proposes to include it as an option, where justified. Reservation by Operators
Q5.1-1 D	•
b	To you garge with the nyinging that enguatous should be allowed to
Y	To you agree with the principal that operators should be allowed to reserve locks of numbers or (individual) codes? See \square No \square
(1	Please add comment here, if any)
~	Oo you agree with the stated conditions under which they may be reserved? For \square No \square
(1	Please add comment here, if any)
a. a.	To you agree that possession of a reservation should not entitle operators to ctivate the capacity and that the applicant should still have to fulfil the normal llocation criteria before activation is allowed? Tes \square No \square
(1	Please add comment here, if any)
re	Oo you agree with the cancellation and change conditions attached to eservation in this section, including the time related conditions? Set \square No \square
	Please add comment here, if any)

Q5.2-3 Do you agree that possession of a reservation by an end user should not **entitle** operators to activate the capacity and that the applicant should still have to fulfil

(Please add comment here, if any)

		the normal allocation criteria before activation is allowed? Yes \[\sum No \[\]
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q5.2-4	Do you agree with the cancellation and change conditions attached to reservation in this section, including the time related conditions? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \square \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q5-1	Do you have any other comments on any part of Section 5 of the Conventions? Yes \square No \square
		(Please add comment here, if any)
5.	Allo	cation of short codes
		on when to allocate short codes, instead of ordinary subscriber numbers is not easy one but there is sometimes a need to resort to their use.
	Q6-1	Do you agree with the criteria for deciding on allocation of short codes, as described in this Section? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q6-2	Have any important criteria been missed out? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \subseteq \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q6-3	Do you have any other comments on Section 6 of the Conventions? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \subseteq \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
7.	Res	ponsibilities for notification of number activation
	Q7-1	Do you agree with the statements concerning notification of activation, in this Section? Yes \(\subseteq No \square \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q7-2	Should anything else be added? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \subseteq \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)

Consultation	on on National Numbering Conventions	Page 12 of 1
Q7-3	Do you have any other comments on Section 7 of the Conventions? Yes No (Please add comment here, if any)	
Diak	et to use numbers number blocks and code	
Mgr	nt to use numbers, number blocks and code	e 5
codes)? Th	it mean to be allocated or allowed to reserve number blocks or numbers his section is based on the premise that they belong to the State but are more public use under limited constraining conditions.	
Q8.1-1	Do you agree with the common good statements and usage conditions 8.1? Yes \(\scale \) No \(\scale \)	of Section
	(Please add comment here, if any)	
Q8.2-1	Do you agree with the common good statements and usage conditions 8.2? Yes \[\cap No \[\cap \]	of Section
	(Please add comment here, if any)	
Q8.2-1	Do you agree with the user rights stated in Convention 8.2-4, 8.2-5 ar Yes No (Please add comment here, if any)	ad 8.2-6?
	(1 lease and comment here, if any)	
Q8-2	Should anything else be added to either Section 8.1 or Section 8.2? Yes \square No \square	

Audit information required from service providers

(Please add comment here, if any)

 $No \square$

Q8-3

Yes 🗌

Clearly the ODTR can only carry out its work with the co-operation of the other telecommunications parties. Up-to-date numbering information, in particular, is of importance to all concerned and there is a difficult line to be drawn between burdening operators with undue requests for data on the one hand and forcing them to work with out-of-date data on the other hand.

Do you have any other comments on Section 8 of the Conventions?

And apart from the information which is collated and published, it is also important for the ODTR's oversight role that it should have accurate facts and figures on networks' status.

	-
Q9-1	Do you agree that the information requested in Section 9 is reasonable? Yes \square No \square
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q9-2	Do you have any other comments on Section 9 of the Conventions? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)

There are no specific questions for this sub-section.

11. Conditions of use for numbers and short codes

11.2

11.3

11.3.1

	n of the conventions is intended to address the general conditions of use for ad short codes.
Q11.1-1	Do you agree with the record retention requirements of Section 11.1? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q11.1-2	Do you agree with the bar on number trading and with the conditions applied to number transfer? Yes \square No \square
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q11.1-3	Do you agree with the tariff-related statements in this section? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q11.1-4	Do you agree that it is appropriate (at least initially) for the ODTR to retain its right to apply additional conditions of use, if necessary? Yes \[\] No \[\]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Subscr	iber numbers usage
Q11.2.1-1	Do you agree with the usage conditions for Primary (and secondary) allocation? Yes \[\subseteq No \[\subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q11.2.3-1	Do you agree with the usage conditions for bursty numbers? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q11.2.3-2	Do you have particular views on sanctions to be taken against bursty traffic offenders? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \square
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Conditi	ions of use for specific number types
Short Cod	de Usage.
Q11.3.1-1	Do you agree with the Convention for Short Code Usage? Yes \tag{\cap} No \tag{\cap}

	(Please add comment here, if any)
Freep	hone usage
Q11.3.	2-1 Do you agree with the Convention on codes for Freephone (1800) services? Yes \[\sum No \[\square \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Share	ed Cost Numbers usage
Q11.3.	3-1 Do you agree with the Conventions on codes for Shared Cost (1850 & 1890) services? Yes \(\subseteq \ No \square \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Unive	ersal Access Number usage
Q11.3.	9
	(Please add comment here, if any)
D.	
	nal Number usage
Q11.3.	5-1 Do you agree with the Convention on codes for Personal Numbering (0700) Services? Yes \(\subseteq \mathbb{No} \square \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Direc	tory Information Access Codes usage
	6-2 Do you agree with the Convention on Directory Services access code 118? Yes \(\subseteq \cdot No \square \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Netwo	ork Unique Short Codes (NUSC's)
Q11.3.	7-1 Do you agree with the general Conventions on NUSCs in Section 11.3.7? Yes No No
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Use	of Premium Rate Numbers
Q11.4	Do you agree that only licensed Network Operators or Service Providers which hold current agreements with Regtel should be candidates for receipt of
	Premium Rate numbers? Yes No \qq \q

Q11.4-2	Do you agree with the other Conventions on codes for Premium Rate Services in Section 11.4? Yes \[\] No \[\]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Geogra	aphic number usage - Definition of areas
Q11.5-1	Do you agree with the Conventions relating to geographic areas in Section 11.5? Yes No
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Portab	oility of numbers
Questions available.	on this sub-section will be developed after the (analysed) consultation results are
Withdr	rawal, quarantine and change of numbers
the holder rights and As detailed	al, quarantine or changes of numbers are all very serious steps, which often impact (s) of those numbers. Therefore a balance has to be drawn between the holder's costs and other interests (e.g. management of the numbering resource). d reasons for withdrawal are listed in annex 4, comments at this point should only b-sections 11.7.1-11.7.3.
Q11.7.1-1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	withdrawal, in Sections 11.7.1 and 11.7.2? Yes \square No \square
Q11.7.3-1	Yes No
Q11.7.3-1	Yes No (Please add comment here, if any) Do you agree with the Conventions relating to quarantine of numbers, in Section 11.7.3?
Q11.7.3-1 Q11-1	Yes No (Please add comment here, if any) Do you agree with the Conventions relating to quarantine of numbers, in Section 11.7.3? Yes No (

12. Use of Numbers and alpha-numeric Characters

This section of the Conventions is advisory only and aims at encouraging a common national approach to keypads, alphanumeric relationships and number presentation. There is no mandatory text. Nevertheless questions are included below so that respondents may have the opportunity to comment if they wish¹.

¹ The inclusion of this section in the Numbering Conventions was foreshadowed in the Numbering Consultation of March 1998.

Q12.1-1	Do you agree with the Conventions on standards for alphanumeric keypads? Yes No (Please add comment here, if any)
Q12.2-1	Do you agree with the Conventions on presentation of numbers? Yes \[\] No \[\]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q12-1	Do you have any other comments on Section 12 of the Conventions? Yes \[\subseteq No \[\subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Mai	ndatory dialling procedures
Mai Q13.1-1	Do you agree that Operators should be required to engineer their systems to accept local calls made using national dialling (i.e. prefixed by 0 and the loc NDC ²), or local dialling (i.e. using only the subscriber number)?
	Do you agree that Operators should be required to engineer their systems to accept local calls made using national dialling (i.e. prefixed by 0 and the loc
Q13.1-1	Do you agree that Operators should be required to engineer their systems to accept local calls made using national dialling (i.e. prefixed by 0 and the loc NDC ²), or local dialling (i.e. using only the subscriber number)? Do you agree with the Conventions relating to calls to Northern Ireland,
Q13.1-1 Q13.2.1	Do you agree that Operators should be required to engineer their systems to accept local calls made using national dialling (i.e. prefixed by 0 and the loc NDC²), or local dialling (i.e. using only the subscriber number)? Do you agree with the Conventions relating to calls to Northern Ireland, including the tariff aspects? Should anything else be added to this either Section 13.1 or Section 13.2?
Q13.1-1 Q13.2.1	Do you agree that Operators should be required to engineer their systems to accept local calls made using national dialling (i.e. prefixed by 0 and the loc NDC²), or local dialling (i.e. using only the subscriber number)? Do you agree with the Conventions relating to calls to Northern Ireland, including the tariff aspects? Should anything else be added to this either Section13.1 or Section 13.2? Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \)

² National Destination Code (See Annex 5 for explanation)

Yes No (Please add comment here, if any)		Q14-1	lication of dialling and numbering scheme Usage Do you have any comments on Section 14 of the Conventions?
The ODTR believes that having principles for review in place from the outset is an im requirement for the Numbering Conventions. Therefore this section provides a mecha ensure the ongoing usefulness of the document. As the rules set down in the Convention mostly based on existing practice a smooth transition is expected and very frequent revisional provides and very frequ			
The ODTR believes that having principles for review in place from the outset is an im requirement for the Numbering Conventions. Therefore this section provides a mecha ensure the ongoing usefulness of the document. As the rules set down in the Convention mostly based on existing practice a smooth transition is expected and very frequent revisionally and the necessary. Q15-1			
requirement for the Numbering Conventions. Therefore this section provides a mecha ensure the ongoing usefulness of the document. As the rules set down in the Convention mostly based on existing practice a smooth transition is expected and very frequent revisional part of the proposed and very frequent revisional part of the proposed transitional part of the correct of the proposed transitional part of the correct of the proposed transitional part of the proposed transcriptional part of the proposed transcription for operators, users and other makes aubmissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have the proposed transcriptional part of the proposed transcriptions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have the proposed transcriptional part of the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other make submissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes submissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and other makes and the proposed transcription of the option for operators, users and o	5.	Rev	ision of the National Numbering Conventions
Yes □ No □ (Please add comment here, if any) Do you agree with the proposed timescales? Yes □ No □ (Please add comment here, if any) Do you agree that description of the option for operators, users and other make submissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have be Yes □ No □ (Please add comment here, if any) Q15-4 Should anything else be added to this Section? Yes □ No □ (Please add comment here, if any)		requirement ensure the mostly bas	nt for the Numbering Conventions. Therefore this section provides a mechanism to ongoing usefulness of the document. As the rules set down in the Conventions are sed on existing practice a smooth transition is expected and very frequent reviews
Q15-2 Do you agree with the proposed timescales? Yes \sum No \sum (Please add comment here, if any) Do you agree that description of the option for operators, users and other make submissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have the Yes \sum No \sum (Please add comment here, if any) Q15-4 Should anything else be added to this Section? Yes \sum No \sum (Please add comment here, if any)		Q15-1	Do you feel that this Section on revision adequately addresses the correct issues Yes \[\cap No \[\cap \]
Yes			(Please add comment here, if any)
Do you agree that description of the option for operators, users and other make submissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have the Yes No (Please add comment here, if any) Q15-4 Should anything else be added to this Section? Yes No (Please add comment here, if any)		Q15-2	
make submissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfact when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have the Yes No (Please add comment here, if any) Older Should anything else be added to this Section? Yes No (Please add comment here, if any) (Please add comment here, if any)			(Please add comment here, if any)
Q15-4 Should anything else be added to this Section? Yes No (Please add comment here, if any)		Q15-3	Do you agree that description of the option for operators, users and others to make submissions on new or amended Conventions at any time is satisfactory, when taken in conjunction with the issues to which the ODTR shall have regard. Yes No
Yes No (Please add comment here, if any)			(Please add comment here, if any)
		Q15-4	Should anything else be added to this Section? Yes \(\subseteq \cdot No \subseteq \)
			(Please add comment here, if any)
Q15-5 Do you have any other comments on Section 15 of the Conventions? Yes \square No \square		Q15-5	Do you have any other comments on Section 15 of the Conventions? Yes \[\subseteq No \[\square \]
(Please add comment here, if any)			(Please add comment here, if any)
nnov 4. Eligibility Critorio for Applicanto	<u>uut</u>	⇒. 111 -	gibility Criteria for Applicants
Annex 1: Eligibility Criteria for Applicants	11_1	Geogra	anhic Numberina Criteria
		Q.A1.1-1	Do you agree that the criteria set for eligibility to apply for geographic numbers are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes No
are reasonable and satisfactory?			(Please add comment here, if any)

	Should anything else be added to this Annex 1 Section? Yes \[\sum No \[\]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Non-G	eographic Numbering Criteria
Q.A1.2-1	Do you agree that the criteria set for eligibility to apply for non-geographi numbers are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \[\subseteq \colon \overline{O} \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q.A1.2-2	Should anything else be added to this Annex 1 Section? Yes \[\subseteq No \subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Carrie	Access Carrier Selection Code Criteria
Q.A1.3-3	Do you agree that the criteria set for eligibility to apply for Carrier Access/Carrier Selection codes are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q.A1.3-4	Should anything else be added to this Annex 1 Section? Yes \[\subseteq No \subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Direct	ory Information Access Code Criteria
Q.A1.4-1	Do you agree that the criteria set for eligibility to apply for Directory Information Access codes are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q.A1.4-2	Should anything else be added to this Annex 1 Section? Yes \ \ No \ \
	110

Annex 2: Grounds for rejecting an application

Refusa	l of Primary Allocation Reservation
Q.A2.1-1	Do you agree that the grounds for rejection of primary allocation or reservation are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q.A2.1-2	Should anything else be added to this Annex 2 Section? Yes \[\subseteq No \subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Refusa	I of Secondary Allocation Reservation
Q.A2.2-1	Do you agree that the grounds for rejection of secondary allocation or reservation are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \(\subseteq \cdot No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q.A2.2-2	Should anything else be added to this Annex 2 Section? Yes \[\subseteq No \subseteq \]
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q.A2-1	Do you have any other comments on Annex Section A2 of the Conventions? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
	(Please add comment here, if any)
	ormation required from Applicants for Primary ocations
Q.A3-1	Do you agree that the information required from applicants and the format are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \square No \square
	(Please add comment here, if any)
Q.A3-2	Should anything else be added to Annex 3? Yes \(\subseteq No \subseteq \)
Q.A3-2	
Q.A3-2 Q.A3-3	Yes No No
	Q.A2.1-1 Q.A2.1-2 Refusa Q.A2.2-1 Q.A2.2-2 Q.A2-1

Annex 4: Grounds for withdrawal of Numbers

.1	Withdr	awing primary level allocations reservations
	Q.A4.1-1	Do you agree that the grounds for withdrawal of primary allocation or reservation are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \(\subseteq \cdot No \subseteq \)
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q.A4.1-2	Are the 'considerations to be addressed before primary withdrawal' satisfactory? Yes \(\subseteq No \square
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q.A4.1-3	Should anything else be added to this Annex 4 Section? Yes \[\subseteq \ No \subseteq \]
		(Please add comment here, if any)
.2	Withdr	awing secondary level allocations/reservations
	Q.A4.2-3	Do you agree that the grounds for withdrawal of secondary allocation or reservation are reasonable and satisfactory? Yes \square No \square
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q.A4.2-4	Are the 'considerations to be addressed before secondary withdrawal' satisfactory? Yes \(\subseteq No \square
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q.A4.2-5	Should anything else be added to this Annex 2 Section? Yes \[\subseteq No \subseteq \]
		(Please add comment here, if any)
	Q .A4-1	Do you have any other comments on Annex Section A4 of the Conventions? Yes \[\subseteq No \[\subseteq \]
		(Please add comment here, if any)
ne	x 5: E x	isting numbering arrangements ³
	Q.A5-1	Do you have any comments on Annex Section A5 of the Conventions?
		Yes \(\square \) No \(\square \)